

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,619	08/25/2003	Dennis A. Boismier	1001.1689101	2861
28075	7590 06/29/2007 SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNER	10, 1111 00 100 1110		1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/647,619	BOISMIER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		George P. Wyszomierski	1742	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 23-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	vn from consideration.	40	
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) 🔲 Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Active periodical	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Amendment filed on April 27, 2007 has been entered. The pending claims are claims 23-36.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 23-26 and 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heath (U.S. patent 5,725,570) in view of Bellouard et al. (U.S. Patent 6,669,794).

Column 8 of Heath discloses a stent including wire loop portions made of a Ni-Ti alloy that initially has linear elastic properties but includes a flexible portion which has been heated to obtain superelastic properties. Heath does not state that an intermediate portion of the prior art devices is flexible or superelastic, "only one" superelastic region as recited in instant claim 29, does not refer to "filter legs" as recited in instant claims 25 and 34, and does not refer to the temperature range of instant claim 31. However.

a) With regard to intermediate portions or only one portion of superelasticity,
Bellouard indicates that it was known in the art, at the time of the invention, to subject
Ni-Ti alloys for use as medical devices to localized heat treatments to obtain
superelasticity in a desired portion of the device. See Bellouard column 7, lines 5-10.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,619

Art Unit: 1742

Further, Bellouard column 10, lines 35-45 as well as column 13 of that reference, indicate that any desired portion or portions of the devices may be made superelastic in this manner.

- b) The interwoven material depicted in the drawings of Heath would appear to be suitable as a filter or filter leg material.
- c) The temperature range used in Heath column 8 overlaps that presently claimed.

Thus, the combined disclosure of Heath and Bellouard et al. would have rendered devices as claimed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

4. Claims 23, 25, 27-32, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muni et al. (U.S. Patent 6,375,629) in view of Bellouard et al.

Column 7 of Muni discloses a core wire made of a Ni-Ti alloy that initially has linear elastic properties but includes a flexible portion which has been heated to obtain superelastic properties. Muni does not state that an intermediate portion of the prior art devices is flexible or superelastic, "only one" superelastic region as recited in instant claim 29, does not refer to "filter legs" as recited in instant claims 25 and 34, and does not refer to the temperature range of instant claim 31. However,

a) The disclosure of Bellouard columns 7, 10 and 13 renders the making of any desired portion or portions of the Muni devices superelastic obvious to one skilled in the art, for reasons as stated in item 3(a) supra.

Art Unit: 1742

b) Muni column 3, line 19 indicates the suitability of the prior art materials for use in filters.

c) The temperature ranges disclosed in column 7 of Muni overlap those as presently claimed.

Thus, the combined disclosures of Muni et al. and Bellouard et al. would have rendered devices as presently claimed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

- 5. By the Amendment of April 27, 2007, Applicant has amended the independent claims to require that the flexible or superelastic portion of the claimed devices be an intermediate portion. Applicant argues that the previously applied prior art (Heath, Muni) does not disclose such a feature. The examiner believes that the newly cited Bellouard reference supplies any deficiency that may have been present in the Heath or Muni disclosures, as set forth in the rejections supra.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/647,619 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the <u>central facsimile number</u>, (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GPW June 25, 2007 CEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER